



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,890	07/16/2003	Dean C. Marchand	COS99006C1	1495	
25537	7590 09/21/20		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MCI, INC			BARNIE, R	EXFORD N	
	OGY LAW DEPARTN STREET NW, 10TH F		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	TON, DC 20036		2643		
		,	DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/621,890	MARCHAND ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		REXFORD N BARNIE	2643	
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess
THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS for If the period for reply specified in the period for reply is separately within the Any reply received by the	EATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY E OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 om the mailing date of this communication. Cified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply pecified above, the maximum statutory period was est or extended period for reply will, by statute, office later than three months after the mailing timent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON.	imely filed lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status				
1) Responsive to	o communication(s) filed on <u>14 Se</u>	eptember 2004.		
2a) This action is	FINAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this app	olication is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the m	erits is
closed in acco	ordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 i	s/are pending in the application.			
	ove claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	·	
5)☐ Claim(s)				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> i	s/are rejected.	ç		
7) Claim(s)	_ is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s)	_ are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specificat	ion is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s	s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may	not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
•	frawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or de	eclaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119			
12) Acknowledam	ent is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
	Some * c)☐ None of:	in the state of th		-
1. Certifie	d copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certifie	d copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applica	tion No	,
 Copies 	of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National St	age
• •	tion from the International Bureau	, , , ,		
	ed detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	REXFORD BARN PRIMARY EXAMI	IVU IIE NER
Attachment(s)	Dir. 4 (DTO 000)	, []	·· (DTO 440)	
 Notice of References (Date of Draftsperson 	Cited (PTO-892) 's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [•
	Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reed et al. (US Pat# 5,566,234).

Regarding claim 1, Reed teaches a method for suppressing a fraud control block in a telecommunications system, the telecommunications system having customer accounts for billing customers for usage of the system, the telecommunications system further having a fraud control system for creating fraud control blocks, comprising the steps of

- a) maintaining at least one record, each record associated with one of the customer accounts (see columns 7-13);
- b) adding an override flag field to each of the at least one record (see columns 7-13);
- c) determining if an override flag is set in a record, when the record is accessed during call processing of a call using a customer account associated with the

record (columns 7-13); and

Art Unit: 2643

d) overriding one or more fraud control blocks on the call if it is determined in step 8 that the override flag is set (see columns 7-13). Furthermore, a closer look at (col. 12 lines 19-column 13 line 10) teaches that even though a call block can be overridden, a call can still be blocked based on call origination information which could include according to (see col. 5 lines 34-38, col. 10 lines 12-16) by giving one the flexibility to determine when a call should be blocked based on calling patterns, statistics and so forth.

Regarding claim 2, Reed teaches at least one record of step (a) is maintained in a Billed Number Screening (BNS) database (see fig. 1).

Regarding claims 3-4 and 6; Reed teaches a special service call, which is an international call (fig. 3B @326, 329, 330).

Regarding claim 5, Reed teaches a device for suppressing a fraud control block in a telecommunications system, the telecommunications system having customer accounts for billing customers for usage of the system and having a fraud control system for creating fraud control

blocks (see figs., columns 7-13), comprising:

a database for maintaining at least one record, each record associated with one of the customer accounts, each record having an override flag field; means for determining if an override flag is set in a record, when the record is accessed during call processing of a call using a customer account associated with said record; and

Art Unit: 2643

means for overriding one or more fraud control blocks on the call if the determining means determines that the override flag is set.

Regarding claim 7, Reed teaches a special service call, and further wherein the means for determining and the means for overriding comprises a call-processing platform (see figs.).

Regarding claim 8, Reed teaches a method of fraud control for a call from an originating number to an international destination, comprising:

Reed teaches a device for preventing fraud in a call to an international destination in a long distance telecommunications system, said telecommunications system connected both internationally and domestically, comprising:

an international database for maintaining at least one record, each record associated with an international destination, each record having a label field;

means for determining if one or more stored labels match one or more labels in the label field of a record, when said record is accessed during call processing of the call, the international destination of the call being associated with the record; and means for blocking the call if the determining means determines that there is a match. This reads on receiving information associated with a call to determine whether the call being made is an international call and deciding whether a call should be blocked or continue (see columns 7-13).

Reed teaches an access-level database, containing records keyed to at least one access code used in obtaining the special service; an exchange-level database, containing records keyed to at least one telephone exchange; an Automatic Number

Art Unit: 2643

Identifier (ANI)-level database, containing records keyed to at least one ANI; and means for determining if one or more labels are in a label field of a record in a database, said record having a key corresponding to the call to the international destination (see disclosure).

Reed teaches that based on an ANI (originating number, terminating number and so forth), a flag can be overridden or a call can be blocked. Furthermore, the exchange to which a call is being made to plays an important role in determining what treatment call should receive and the access level which reads on the authentication level plays a less significant role based on Reed's teaching.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **REXFORD N BARNIE** whose telephone number is (703)306-2744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CURTIS KUNTZ can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER REXFORD BARNIE 09/14/04

HEXFORD BARNIE PRIMARY EXAMINER